

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--|--------------|---------------------|
| 09/529,72 | 6 04/12/ | 01 BROMAN | •. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | В | 0679/00188 |
| | | IM22/090 | e J [| · E | EXAMINER |
| BURTON A AMERNICK | | | - | MAPLES, J | |
| | | & AMERNICK | Γ | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| PO BOX 19 WASHINGTO | | C 20036-3425 | | 1745 | , |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: | |
| | | | | | 09/06/01 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| 3 | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/529,726 | BROMAN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | John S. Maples | 1745 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON | imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | , | | | | | |
| | · s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa | | prosecution as to the medis is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> ie/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | n from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> ie/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | arimor. | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/ | a)-(d) or (f) | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | priority under 05 5.5.5. § 110(| a)-(a) or (i). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | (e) (to a provisional application). | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | * * | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informa | ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/529,726

Art Unit: 1745

1. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Throughout the specification, applicant has recited that positive and negative electrolytes exist within flow chambers that flow through the battery in contact with their respective electrodes to effect half-cell reactions at the electrodes. The term "electrolytes" is improper. The term that should be used is –electrolytic--. This is because these solutions that circulate through the flow chambers are actually electrolytic solutions that contain active material that react with the electrodes to produce a chemical reaction. In a battery, the electrolyte is used only to provide a medium that allows the ion transport mechanism between the anode and the cathode in a cell to take place. The electrolyte does not provide the active materials for reaction in the cell as the case for the electrolytic solutions in a redox battery. Reference is made to EP 814527-cited by applicant and to the two US patents cited by the examiner in this action for the wording in these patents to "electrolytic" solutions. These solutions are circulated within a redox battery, which solutions contain active material used in the battery.

Applicant is cautioned against the insertion of new matter in response to the above rejection.

- 2. In view of the fact that it is unclear exactly what constitutes applicant's invention, a prior art search of relevant prior art could not be conducted.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is 703-308-1795. The examiner can normally be reached on alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 09/529,726

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gabrielle Brouillette can be reached on 703-308-0756. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

John S. Maples
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1745

JSM September 3, 2001